# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

	§		
IACVIE I EEI ED om Dehelf of Himself and All	§ e		
JACKIE LEFLER, on Behalf of Himself and All	8		
Others Similarly Situated,	§ § §		
Plaintiff,	§ §		
i idilitiii,	§ §		
V.		Case No.	
	§ § §		-
CANDANCE K. BEINECKE, JEAN D.	§		
HAMILTON, WILLIAM M. KELLY, PAUL J.	§		
LAWLER, DOMINIQUE RAILLARD,	§		
NATHAN SNYDER, JOHN P. ARNHOLD,			
JAMES E. JORDAN, FIRST EAGLE FUNDS	§ §		
OF AMERICA, INC., ARNHOLD AND S.	§		
BLEICHROEDER ADVISORS, LL, IRIDIAN	§		
ASSET MANAGMENT, LLC, and JOHN	§		
DOES NO. 1 THROUGH 100	§ § §		
	§		
Defendants.	§		
	§		
	§		
	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00		
	8		
	8		
	8		
	8		
	8 8		
	\$ \$ \$ \$		
	§		
JURY TRIAL DEMANDED	§		

\_\_\_\_\_\_

#### **CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT**

### INTRODUCTION

- 1. This is a national class action lawsuit on behalf of investors in open-ended mutual funds with equity securities holdings in the First Eagle Family of Funds (the "Funds") against the Defendant directors, investment advisors, and affiliates of the Funds alleging that the Defendants breached fiduciary duties and duties of care owed directly to the Plaintiff and members of the Class, including duties arising under Sections 36(a), 36(b), and 47(b) of the Investment Company Act of 1940 (ICA), 15 U.S.C. § 80a *et seq.*, by failing to ensure that the Funds participated in securities class action settlements for which the Funds were eligible. Jackie Lefler files on her own behalf, as well as a representative of a Class of all persons who owned Funds at any time during the time period of January 12, 2002 to the present. Plaintiff seeks compensatory damages, disgorgement of the fees paid to the investment advisors, and punitive damages.
- 2. Over 90 million Americans entrust their savings to the directors and advisors of mutual funds. Mutual funds are so attractive and popular because they purport to provide professional money management services to investors who otherwise would not be able to afford such services. Rather than select and monitor the securities that make up her portfolio, an investor pools her money with other investors in a mutual fund and entrusts complete control and dominion over her investments to the directors and advisors of the mutual fund. As a result of this relationship of special trust, directors and advisors of mutual funds owe a fiduciary duty directly to each individual investor in the fund and are required to act with the highest obligations of good faith, loyalty, fair dealing, due care, and candor.

- 3. "A mutual fund is a 'mere shell,' a pool of assets consisting mostly of portfolio securities that belong to the individual investors holding shares in the fund." *Tannenbaum v. Zeller*, 552 F.2d 402, 405 (2d Cir. 1977). Each investor who pools his money with others in a mutual fund owns a proportionate share of the total assets of the mutual fund. The value of each investor's portion of those pooled assets is determined by taking the market value of all of the fund's portfolio securities, adding the value of any other fund assets, subtracting fund liabilities, and dividing the result by the number of shares outstanding. *United States v. Cartwright*, 411 U.S. 546, 548 (1973). This so-called "per share net asset value" (NAV) is computed daily so that any gain or loss in fund assets is immediately allocated to the individual investors as of that specific date. Accordingly, mutual funds are unlike conventional corporations in that any increase or decrease in fund assets is immediately passed on or allocated to the fund investors as of the date of the relevant recalculation of the NAV.
- 4. In the mid to late 1990s, the number of investor securities class action lawsuits against publicly traded companies alleging violations of the Securities Act of 1933 and the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (collectively the "Securities Acts") exploded. In the fall of 2001, suits brought pursuant to the Securities Acts became magnified by the popular press after the corporate scandals and misdeeds at Enron, WorldCom, Tyco, and Adelphia. When a recovery is achieved in a securities class action lawsuit, investors who owned shares in the company settling the lawsuit have the option to either: (1) opt-out of the class action and pursue their own remedy or (2) remain in the class and participate in the recovery achieved. The process by which a member of the class collects the money to which he is entitled is intentionally quite simple in order to encourage participation. A class member completes a short form called a Proof of Claim and submits it to the Claims

There were 1,517 federal class action lawsuits brought under the Securities Acts between 1996 and 2003. Securities Class Action Case Filings. 2003: A Year in Review. Cornerstone Research.

Administrator. After the Claims Administrator receives all Proof of Claim forms, it disperses money from the settlement fund to those persons and entities with valid claims.

- 5. Defendants serve in various capacities as mutual fund directors, advisors, and affiliates as will be identified herein. The Funds were putative members of dozens of class actions brought under the Securities Acts, by virtue of Funds owning the securities against which the suits were brought. However, upon information and belief that the allegations are likely to have evidentiary support and upon the representation that they will be withdrawn or corrected if reasonable opportunity for further investigation or discovery indicates insufficient evidentiary support (hereafter "upon information and belief"), Defendants failed to ensure that the Funds participated in (or opted out of) many of these class action settlements. As a result, because of Defendants' refusal to complete and submit a short form, monies contained in dozens of Settlement Funds, which rightfully belonged to the Funds' investors have gone unclaimed. Defendants' failure to protect the interests of Fund investors by recovering monies owed them is a breach of the fiduciary duty they each owe directly to Plaintiff and members of the Class.
- 6. The class period begins January 12, 2002. On or before that date, the Defendants began the illegal conduct complained of herein. The Class consists of all persons who owned one of the Funds at any time between January 12, 2002 through January 12, 2005 and who suffered damages thereby.<sup>2</sup>

### **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

7. This court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action pursuant to Section 36(b) and 44 of the Investment Company Act, 15 U.S.C. § 30a-35(b) & -43, and 28 U.S.C. §

Because the full extent of Defendants' breaches of fiduciary duty have yet to be revealed or have subsequently stopped, the Class Period will be expanded forward to include the period of time between January 12, 2005 and the date of the cessation of the unlawful activities detailed herein.

- 1331(a). This Court has supplemental jurisdiction, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367(a), over the state law claims asserted herein because they arise out of a common nucleus of operative facts and are part of the same case or controversy as Plaintiff's federal claims.
- 8. Venue is proper in this District because the acts and omissions complained of herein occurred in this District and Parent Company Defendant was, at all relevant times, and still is, headquartered in New York City, New York.
- 9. In connection with the acts and practices alleged herein, Defendants directly or indirectly used the instrumentalities of interstate commerce, including the mail systems, interstate telephone communications, and the facilities and instrumentalities of the national securities markets and national securities exchanges.

### **PARTIES**

### Plaintiff.

10. Plaintiff Jackie Lefler resides in Pickens County, South Carolina and at all relevant times owned one of the Funds.

### Defendants.

11. Defendant First Eagle Funds of America, Inc. is the ultimate parent of Arnhold and S. Bleichroeder Advisors, LLC and Iridian Asset Management, LLC. Through its subsidiaries and divisions, Defendant First Eagle Funds of America, Inc. markets, sponsors, and provides investments advisory, distribution and administrative services to the First Eagle Family of Funds, which consists of approximately 5 funds. First Eagle Funds of America, Inc. shall be referred to herein as the "Parent Company Defendant." First Eagle Funds of America, Inc. maintains its principal executive offices at 1345 Avenue of the Americas, New York, NY 10105.

- 12. Candance K. Beinecke, Jean D. Hamilton, William M. Kelly, Paul J. Lawler, Dominique Raillard, Nathan Snyder, John P. Arnhold, James E. Jordan are each members of the Board of Directors for the Funds. The Funds' Board of Directors oversee the management of the Funds. Collectively, these defendants shall be referred to as the "Director Defendants."
- 13. A. Defendant Arnhold and S. Bleichroeder Advisors, LLC is a registered investment advisor and has the responsibility for the day-to-day management of the First Eagle Family of Funds. Arnhold and S. Bleichroeder Advisors, LLC has approximately \$16 billion in assets under management in total. Arnhold and S. Bleichroeder Advisors, LLC is located at 1345 Avenue of the Americas, New York, NY 10105.
- B. Defendant Iridian Asset Management, LLC is a registered investment advisor and has the responsibility for the day-to-day management of the First Eagle Family of Funds. Iridian Asset Management, LLC is located at 276 Post Road West, Westport, CT 06880

Collectively, Arnhold and S. Bleichroeder Advisors, LLC and Iridian Asset Management, LLC shall be referred to as the "Advisor Defendants."

- 14. The true names and capacities of Defendants sued herein as John Does 1 through 100 are often active participants with the above-named Defendants in the widespread unlawful conduct alleged herein whose identities have yet to be ascertained. Such Defendants served as fiduciaries on behalf of fund investors. Plaintiff will seek to amend this complaint to state the true names and capacities of said Defendants when they have been ascertained.
  - 15. Collectively, all Defendants named above shall be referred to herein as "Defendants."

### **CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS**

16. This action is brought by Plaintiff as a class action, on her own behalf and on behalf of all others similarly situated, under the provisions of Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil

Procedure for compensatory and punitive damages, forfeiture of all commissions and fees paid by the Class, costs, and attorneys fees. Plaintiff seeks certification of this action as a class action on behalf of all persons owning one of the Funds at any time between January 12, 2002 through January 12, 2005, and who were damaged by the conduct alleged herein. This case is properly brought as a class action under Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure for the reasons set forth in the following paragraphs.

- 17. The members of the Class are so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable. While the exact number of the Class members is unknown to Plaintiff at this time and can only be ascertained through appropriate discovery, Plaintiff believes that there are tens of thousands of members in the proposed Class. Record owners of the Funds during the relevant time period may be identified from records maintained by the Defendants and may be notified of the pendency of this action by mail, using a form of notice similar to that customarily used in securities class actions.
- 18. Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the members of the Class as all members of the Class are similarly affected by Defendants' wrongful conduct that is complained of herein.
- 19. Common questions of law and fact exist as to all members of the Class and predominate over any questions solely affecting individual members of the Class. Among the questions of law and fact common to the Class are:
  - (a) Whether Defendants owe the investors in the fund a fiduciary duty to submit Proof of Claim forms on behalf of the Funds in settled securities cases;
  - (b) Whether Defendants owe the investors in the fund a duty of care to act in a reasonable manner to protect and maximize Fund investors' investments by participating in settled securities class actions;

- (c) In which securities class action settlements the Funds were eligible to participate;
- (d) Whether Defendants submitted Proof of Claim forms (or opted out of the class action and pursued their own remedy) for those securities class action settlements in which Funds were eligible to participate;
- (e) To what extent the member of the Class have sustained damages and the proper measure of such damages.
- 20. The claims of the Plaintiff, who is a representative of the Class herein, are typical of the claims of the Class in that the claims of all members of the Class, including the Plaintiff, depend on a showing of the acts or omissions of the Defendants giving rise to the right of the Plaintiff to the relief sought herein. There is no conflict between the individual named Plaintiff and other members of the Class with respect to this action, or with respect to the claims for relief set forth herein.
- 21. The named Plaintiff is the representative party for the Class and is able to and will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the Class. The attorneys for the Plaintiff are experienced and capable in civil litigation and class actions.
- 22. A class action is superior to all other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy since joinder of all members is impracticable. Furthermore, as the damages suffered by individual Class members may be relatively small, the expense and burden of individual litigation make it virtually impossible for members of the Class to individually redress the wrongs done to them. There will be no difficulty in the management of this action as a class action. A class action will redress the Defendants' wrongful conduct described herein.

### SUBSTANTIVE ALLEGATIONS

23. At all relevant times during the Class Period, the First Eagle Family of Funds held assets of approximately \$16 billion. All 5 of the First Eagle Funds have the stated investment

objective of owning equity securities, varying among the funds as to the preferred market capitalization and market sector of the companies owned. As such, throughout the Class Period, the First Eagle Funds held billions of dollars of investments in equity security traded on the United States' stock exchanges.

24. During the Class Period, hundreds of securities class action cases were settled (the "Securities Class Actions"). Of the Securities Class Actions, the Funds were eligible to participate in the recovery in a significant number of the cases by virtue of their ownership of the securities during the requisite time period of each case. While not an exhaustive list, upon information and belief, the Funds owned shares and had valid claims in many, if not all, of the following securities class action cases:

Case Style	Class Period	Deadline to Submit Proof of Claim
In re Accelr8 Technology Corp. Securities Litigation	10/7/97 - 11/16/99	6/16/2003
In re Acrodyne Communications, Inc.	1/1/98 - 8/14/00	8/24/2001
Lewis v. Advanced Technical Products, Inc. et al.	4/22/98 - 4/28/00	2/1/2003
In re Allaire Corporation Securities Litigation	12/7/99 - 9/18/00	12/18/2003
In re Anicom, Inc. Securities Litigation	2/17/99 - 7/18/00	1/24/2003
In re Applied Digital Solutions Litigation	1/19/00 - 5/21/02	3/15/2004
In re ATI Technologies, Inc. Securities Litigation	1/13/00 - 5/24/00	5/26/2003
Bryant v. Avado Brands, Inc., et al. (Applesouth)	5/26/95 - 9/24/96	3/5/2003
In re Avant! Corporation Securities Litigation	6/6/95 - 12/6/95	7/19/2001
In re Bergen Brunswig Corp. Securities Litigation	3/16/99 - 10/14/99	8/13/2001
In re Brightpoint, Inc. Securities Litigation	1/29/99 - 1/31/02	8/29/2003
Sinay v. Boron LePore & Associates, Inc. et al.	5/5/98 - 2/4/99	7/17/2002
In re California Software Corporation Securities Litigation	2/9/00 - 8/6/00	3/26/2002
In re Campbell Soup Co. Securities Litigation	9/8/97 - 1/8/99	7/10/2003
Katz v. Carnival Corporation et al.	7/28/98 - 2/28/00	2/6/2004
In re CHS Electronics, Inc. Securities Litigation	8/7/97 - 5/13/99	3/31/2002

Deborah Anderton v. ClearOne Communications, Inc. et al.	4/17/01 - 1/15/03	4/8/2004
Sherma v. Cole National Corporation, et al.	1/31/98 - 5/16/03	10/28/2003
In re Commtouch Software LTD. Securities Litigation	4/19/00 - 2/13/01	9/3/2003
In re Conseco, Inc. Securities Litigation	4/28/99 - 4/14/00	11/30/2002
In re Covad Communications Group Securities Litigation	4/19/00 - 6/24/01	2/4/2003
In re Cutter & Buck Inc. Securities Litigation	6/1/00 - 8/12/02	1/12/2004
Graf v. CyberCare Inc. et al.	1/4/99 - 5/12/00	1/24/2003
Maley v. DelGlobal Technologies Corporation et al.	11/6/97 - 11/6/00	1/7/2002
In re Dollar General Corporation Securities Litigation	3/5/97 - 1/14/02	7/8/2002
In re DOV Pharmaceutical, Inc. Securities Litigation	4/25/02 - 12/20/02	6/16/2003
In re DPL, Inc. Securities Litigation	11/15/98 - 8/14/02	3/1/2004
In re DrKoop.Com, Inc. Securities Litigation	6/8/99 - 12/7/02	1/14/2002
In re ECI Telecom LTD Securities Litigation	5/12/00 - 2/14/01	1/14/2003
In re eConnect, Inc. Securities Litigation	11/18/99 - 3/13/00	10/12/2001
In re Mex. Corporation Securities Litigation	4/9/01 - 5/23/01	1/16/2004
In re Emulex Corporation Securities Litigation	1/18/01 - 2/9/01	10/27/2003
In re Engineering Animation Securities Litigation	2/19/98 - 10/1/99	6/1/2001
In re Envoy Corporation Securities Litigation	2/12/97 - 8/18/98	2/20/2004
In re Federal-Mogul Corp. Securities Litigation	10/22/98 - 5/25/00	1/9/2004
In re Fidelity Holdings, Inc. Securities Litigation	6/24/99 - 4/17/00	4/21/2003
In re Finova Group Inc. Securities Litigation	1/14/99 - 11/13/02	9/30/2002
In re Flir Systems, Inc. Securities Litigation	3/3/99 - 3/6/00	5/3/2001
In re FPA Medical Management, Inc. Securities Litigation	1/3/97 - 5/14/98	11/25/2003
In re Gateway, Inc. Securities Litigation	4/14/00 - 2/28/01	9/30/2002
In re Gliatech Inc. Securities Litigation	4/9/98 - 8/29/00	5/3/2003
Pirelli Armstrong et al. v. Hanover Compressor Co., et al.	5/4/99 - 12/23/02	3/12/2004
Warstadt et al. v. Hastings Entertainment, Inc., et al.	6/12/98 - 5/2/00	4/24/2003
White v. Heartland High-Yield Municipal Bond Fund, et al.	1/2/97 - 10/16/00	11/18/2002
In re HI/FN, Inc. Securities Litigation	7/26/99 - 11/7/99	9/20/2003
In re Homestore.com, Inc. Securities Litigation	1/1/00 - 12/21/01	12/5/2003

Fogel v. Information Management Associates, Inc., et al.	8/12/99 - 11/18/99	1/17/2003
In re InaCom Corp. Securities Litigation	11/9/98 - 5/17/00	2/12/2003
In re Independent Energy Holdings PLC	2/14/00 - 9/8/00	12/3/2002
In re InterSpeed, Inc. Securities Litigation	9/24/99 - 10/6/00	8/10/2001
In re IXL Enterprises, Inc. Securities Litigation	11/30/99 - 9/1/2000	8/20/2003
Garza v. JD Edwards & Company et al.	1/22/98 - 12/3/98	5/6/2002
In re JDN Realty Corporation Securities Litigation	2/15/97 - 4/12/00	12/15/2001
Harold Ruttenberg, et al. (Just for Feet, Inc.)	4/12/99 - 11/3/99	11/13/2002
In re L90, Inc. Securities Litigation	4/28/00 - 5/9/03	5/18/2004
In re Landry's Seafood Restaurants, Inc. Sec. Litigation	12/19/97 - 9/18/98	7/19/2002
In re Legato Systems, Inc. Securities Litigation	4/22/99 - 5/17/00	9/30/2002
Molholt v. Loudcloud Inc., et al.	3/8/01 - 5/1/01	10/29/2003
In re Lucent Technologies Inc. Securities Litigation	10/26/99 - 12/21/00	3/31/2004
In re M&A West, Inc. Securities Litigation	10/4/99 - 12/28/00	3/4/2004
Dusek v. Mattel, Inc., et al.	2/2/99 - 10/1/99	10/23/2003
Haack v. Max Internet Communications, Inc., et al.	11/12/99 - 5/12/00	11/25/2002
In re Medi-Hut Co., Securities Litigation	11/7/99 - 8/19/03	7/2/2004
In re Medirisk, Inc. Securities Litigation	5/4/98 - 6/30/98	4/30/2004
In re MicroStrategy Inc. Securities Litigation	6/11/98 - 3/20/00	9/3/2001
In re Mitek Systems, Inc. Securities Litigation	12/27/99 - 9/29/00	4/8/2002
In re MP3.Com, Inc. Securities Litigation	1/13/00 - 9/7/00	8/9/2001
In re Mpower Communications Corp. Securities Litigation	2/4/00 - 9/7/00	8/29/2003
In re MSC Industrial Direct Co., Securities Litigation	1/11/99 - 8/5/02	4/30/2004
In re MTI Technology Corp. Securities Litigation, II	7/22/99 - 7/2/00	9/2/2003
In re Navigant Consulting, Inc. Securities Litigation	1/1/99 - 11/19/99	3/22/2001
In re NetEase.Com, Inc. Securities Litigation	7/3/00 - 8/31/01	6/13/2003
In re Netsolve Incorporated Securities Litigation	4/18/00 - 8/18/00	9/13/2002
In re Network Associates Inc. Securities Litigation	1/20/98 - 4/6/99	6/14/2002
In re Network Associates, Inc. II Securities Litigation	4/15/99 - 12/26/00	3/2/2004
New Era of Networks, Inc.	10/29/98 - 7/6/99	12/31/2001
Norman v. New Era Of Networks, Inc., et al.	10/18/00 - 1/5/01	8/12/2002
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-	

In re Newpower Holdings, Inc. Securities Litigation         10/5/00 - 12/5/01         47/12004           In re Nice Systems, Ltd. Securities Litigation         11/3/99 - 27/701         5/1/2003           In re Nike, Inc. Securities Litigation         6/29/00 - 2/26/01         3/10/2003           Stuart Markus, et al v. The Northface, Inc.         4/24/77 - 4/1/99         5/24/2001           In re Northpoint Communications Group, Inc. Sec. Litigation         8/8/00-11/29/00         2/11/2004           In re On-Point Technology Systems, Inc. Securities Litigation         5/19/97 - 4/7/00         8/21/2001           In re Onyx Software Corporation Securities Litigation         6/14/00 - 9/26/01         11/1/2002           In re Optical Cable Corporation Securities Litigation         11/6/96 - 12/9/97         7/11/2003           In re Oxford Health Plans, Inc. Securities Litigation         11/6/96 - 12/9/97         7/11/2003           In re Pardy Networks, Inc. Securities Litigation         2/26/98 - 3/18/99         8/12/2003           In re Per PCOM, Inc. Securities Litigation         4/15/97 - 9/11/98         3/15/2002           In re Pend Treaty Schwab Corporation Securities Litigation         5/27/98 - 1/28/99         9/4/2001           In re Per PopleSoft, Inc. Securities Litigation         5/27/98 - 1/28/99         3/15/2002           In re Pilot Network Services, Inc. Securities Litigation         10/26/99 - 10/3/00			
In re Nike, Inc. Securities Litigation   6/29/00 - 2/26/01   3/10/2003	In re Newpower Holdings, Inc. Securities Litigation	10/5/00 - 12/5/01	4/7/2004
Stuart Markus, et al. v. The Northface, Inc.   4/24/77 - 4/1/99   5/24/2001	In re Nice Systems, Ltd. Securities Litigation	11/3/99 - 2/7/01	5/1/2003
In re Northpoint Communications Group, Inc. Sec. Litigation   1/31/01 - 3/15/01   12/15/2003     In re Nuance Communications, Inc.   1/31/01 - 3/15/01   12/15/2003     In re On-Point Technology Systems, Inc. Securities Litigation   5/19/97 - 4/7/00   8/21/2001     In re Onyx Software Corporation Securities Litigation   Pursuant to 2/2001   Offering     In re Optical Cable Corporation Securities Litigation   6/14/00 - 9/26/01   11/1/2002     In re Oxford Health Plans, Inc. Securities Litigation   11/6/96 - 12/9/97   7/11/2003     In re Paradyne Networks, Inc. Securities Litigation   3/20/00 - 9/28/00   7/12/2004     In re Party City Corporation Securities Litigation   2/26/98 - 3/18/99   8/12/2003     In re Perty City Corporation Securities Litigation   4/15/97 - 9/11/98   3/15/2002     In re Penn Treaty Schwab Corporation Sec. Litig.   7/23/00 - 3/29/01   2/23/2004     In re Penn Treaty Schwab Corporation Sec. Litig.   7/23/00 - 3/29/01   2/23/2004     In re Performance Technologies, Inc. Securities Litigation   5/27/98 - 1/28/99   9/4/2001     In re Performance Technologies, Inc. Securities Litigation   4/22/97 - 9/22/98   8/5/2002     In re Pilot Network Services, Inc. Securities Litigation   8/11/98 - 10/17/00   5/2/2002     In re Pilot Network Services, Inc. Securities Litigation   10/26/99 - 10/3/00   5/14/2004     In re Retliance Securities Litigation   12/10/98 - 10/27/00   11/23/2003     In re Robotic Vision Systems, Inc. Securities Litigation   1/27/00 - 5/15/01   8/11/2003     In re Robotic Vision Systems, Inc. Securities Litigation   1/27/09 - 4/18/00   5/27/2003     In re Sagent Technology Inc. Securities Litigation   10/21/99 - 4/18/00   5/27/2003     In re Sagent Technology, Inc. Securities Litigation   10/21/99 - 4/18/00   5/27/2003     In re Select Comfort Corporation Securities Litigation   11/19/97 - 4/14/00   3/20/2002     In re Select Comfort Corporation Securities Litigation   12/3/98 - 6/7/99   4/30/2003     In re Sensormatic Electronics Corp. Securities Litigation   12/3/98 - 6/7/99   4	In re Nike, Inc. Securities Litigation	6/29/00 - 2/26/01	3/10/2003
In re Nuance Communications, Inc.  1/31/01 - 3/15/01   12/15/2003  In re On-Point Technology Systems, Inc. Securities Litigation   5/19/97 - 4/7/00   8/21/2001  In re Onyx Software Corporation Securities Litigation   Pursuant to 2/2001   6/28/2004  In re Onyx Software Corporation Securities Litigation   6/14/00 - 9/26/01   11/1/2002  In re Oxford Health Plans, Inc. Securities Litigation   11/6/96 - 12/9/97   7/11/2003  In re Paradyne Networks, Inc. Securities Litigation   3/20/00 - 9/28/00   7/12/2004  In re Paradyne Networks, Inc. Securities Litigation   2/26/98 - 3/18/99   8/12/2003  In re P-COM, Inc. Securities Litigation   4/15/97 - 9/11/98   3/15/2002  In re Penn Treaty Schwab Corporation Sec. Litig.   7/23/00 - 3/29/01   2/23/2004  In re Penn Treaty Schwab Corporation Sec. Litig.   7/23/00 - 3/29/01   2/23/2004  In re Performance Technologies, Inc. Securities Litigation   5/27/98 - 1/28/99   9/4/2001  In re PhyCor Corporation Securities Litigation   4/22/97 - 9/22/98   8/5/2002  In re Pilot Network Services, Inc. Securities Litigation   8/11/98 - 10/17/00   5/2/2002  In re PSS World Medical, Inc. Securities Litigation   10/26/99 - 10/3/00   5/14/2004  In re Reliance Securities Litigation   12/10/98 - 10/27/00   11/23/2003  In re Rite Aid Corporation Securities Litigation   12/10/98 - 10/27/00   11/23/2003  In re Robotic Vision Systems, Inc. Securities Litigation   1/27/00 - 5/15/01   8/11/2003  Paul Ruble v. Rural / Metro Corporation et al.   4/24/97 - 6/11/98   12/15/2003  In re Sagent Technology Inc. Securities Litigation   10/21/99 - 4/18/00   5/27/2003  In re Segent Technology, Inc. Securities Litigation   11/19/97 - 4/14/00   3/20/2002  Lone Star et al. v. Schlotzsky's Inc., et al.   9/24/1997   5/23/2002  In re Select Comfort Corporation Securities Litigation   12/3/98 - 6/7/99   4/30/2003  In re Sensormatic Electronics Corp. Securities Litigation   12/3/98 - 6/7/99   4/30/2003	Stuart Markus, et al v. The Northface, Inc.	4/24/77 - 4/1/99	5/24/2001
In re On-Point Technology Systems, Inc. Securities Litigation   S/19/97 - 4/7/00   8/21/2001   In re Onyx Software Corporation Securities Litigation   Pursuant to 2/2001   6/28/2004   Offering   In re Optical Cable Corporation Securities Litigation   6/14/00 - 9/26/01   11/1/2002   In re Oxford Health Plans, Inc. Securities Litigation   11/6/96 - 12/9/97   7/11/2003   In re Paradyne Networks, Inc. Securities Litigation   3/20/00 - 9/28/00   7/12/2004   In re Party City Corporation Securities Litigation   2/26/98 - 3/18/99   8/12/2003   In re P-COM, Inc. Securities Litigation   4/15/97 - 9/11/98   3/15/2002   In re Penn Treaty Schwab Corporation Sec. Litig.   7/23/00 - 3/29/01   2/23/2004   In re Penn Treaty Schwab Corporation Sec. Litig.   7/23/00 - 3/29/01   2/23/2004   In re PeopleSoft, Inc. Securities Litigation   5/27/98 - 1/28/99   9/4/2001   In re Performance Technologies, Inc. Securities Litigation   2/2/00 - 5/19/00   7/18/2003   In re PhyCor Corporation Securities Litigation   4/22/97 - 9/22/98   8/5/2002   In re Pilot Network Services, Inc. Securities Litigation   8/11/98 - 10/17/00   5/14/2004   In re Reliance Securities Litigation   10/26/99 - 10/3/00   5/14/2004   In re Reliance Securities Litigation   10/26/99 - 10/3/00   5/14/2004   In re Reit-Way Securities Litigation   12/10/98 - 10/27/00   11/23/2003   In re Robotic Vision Systems, Inc. Securities Litigation   1/27/00 - 5/15/01   8/11/2003   In re Robotic Vision Systems, Inc. Securities Litigation   1/27/00 - 5/15/01   8/11/2003   Stanley v. Safeskin Corporation, et al.   4/24/97 - 6/11/98   12/15/2003   In re Sagent Technology Inc. Securities Litigation   10/21/99 - 4/18/00   5/27/2003   In re Sagent Technology Inc. Securities Litigation   10/21/99 - 4/18/00   5/23/2002   Lone Star et al. v. Schlotzsky's Inc., et al.   9/24/1997   5/23/2002   In re Select Comfort Corporation Securities Litigation   12/3/98 - 6/7/99   4/30/2003   In re Sensormatic Electronics Corp. Securities Litigation   12/3/98 - 6/7/99   4/30/2003   In re Sensormatic Elec	In re Northpoint Communications Group, Inc. Sec. Litigation	8/8/00-11/29/00	2/11/2004
In re Onyx Software Corporation Securities Litigation	In re Nuance Communications, Inc.	1/31/01 - 3/15/01	12/15/2003
In re Optical Cable Corporation Securities Litigation   6/14/00 - 9/26/01   11/1/2002	In re On-Point Technology Systems, Inc. Securities Litigation	5/19/97 - 4/7/00	8/21/2001
In re Oxford Health Plans, Inc. Securities Litigation 3/20/00 - 9/28/00 7/12/2004  In re Paradyne Networks, Inc. Securities Litigation 3/20/00 - 9/28/00 7/12/2004  In re Party City Corporation Securities Litigation 2/26/98 - 3/18/99 8/12/2003  In re P-COM, Inc. Securities Litigation 4/15/97 - 9/11/98 3/15/2002  In re Penn Treaty Schwab Corporation Sec. Litig. 7/23/00 - 3/29/01 2/23/2004  In re Penn Treaty Schwab Corporation Sec. Litig. 7/23/00 - 3/29/01 2/23/2004  In re Penn Treaty Schwab Corporation Sec. Litig. 7/23/00 - 3/29/01 2/23/2004  In re Penformance Technologies, Inc. Securities Litigation 5/27/98 - 1/28/99 9/4/2001  In re PhyCor Corporation Securities Litigation 4/22/97 - 9/22/98 8/5/2002  In re Pilot Network Services, Inc. Securities Litigation 8/11/98 - 10/17/00 5/2/2002  In re PSS World Medical, Inc. Securities Litigation 10/26/99 - 10/3/00 5/14/2004  In re Reliance Securities Litigation 10/26/99 - 10/3/00 5/14/2004  In re Rent-Way Securities Litigation 12/10/98 - 10/27/00 11/23/2003  In re Rite Aid Corporation Securities Litigation 5/2/97 - 11/10/99 6/30/2003  In re Robotic Vision Systems, Inc. Securities Litigation 1/27/00 - 5/15/01 8/11/2003  Paul Ruble v. Rural / Metro Corporation et al. 4/24/97 - 6/11/98 12/15/2003  In re Sagent Technology Inc. Securities Litigation 10/21/99 - 4/18/00 5/27/2003  In re SCB Computer Technology, Inc. Securities Litigation 11/19/97 - 4/18/00 5/27/2003  In re SCB Computer Technology, Inc. Securities Litigation 11/19/97 - 4/14/00 3/20/2002  Lone Star et al. v. Schlotzsky's Inc., et al. 9/24/1997 5/23/2002  In re Select Comfort Corporation Securities Litigation 12/3/98 - 6/7/99 4/30/2003	In re Onyx Software Corporation Securities Litigation		6/28/2004
In re Paradyne Networks, Inc. Securities Litigation         3/20/00 - 9/28/00         7/12/2004           In re Party City Corporation Securities Litigation         2/26/98 - 3/18/99         8/12/2003           In re Perto Commentary City Corporation Securities Litigation         4/15/97 - 9/11/98         3/15/2002           In re Penn Treaty Schwab Corporation Securities         7/23/00 - 3/29/01         2/23/2004           In re Penn Treaty Schwab Corporation Securities         5/27/98 - 1/28/99         9/4/2001           In re PeopleSoft, Inc. Securities Litigation         5/27/98 - 1/28/99         9/4/2001           In re Performance Technologies, Inc. Securities Litigation         2/2/00 - 5/19/00         7/18/2003           In re PhyCor Corporation Securities Litigation         8/11/98 - 10/17/00         5/2/2002           In re Pilot Network Services, Inc. Securities Litigation         10/26/99 - 10/3/00         5/14/2004           In re Reliance Securities Litigation         10/26/99 - 10/3/00         5/14/2004           In re Reliance Securities Litigation         12/10/98 - 10/27/00         11/23/2003           In re Rite Aid Corporation Securities Litigation         12/10/98 - 10/27/00         11/23/2003           In re Robotic Vision Systems, Inc. Securities Litigation         1/27/00 - 5/15/01         8/11/2003           Paul Ruble v. Rural / Metro Corporation et al.         2/18/98 - 3/11/99	In re Optical Cable Corporation Securities Litigation	6/14/00 - 9/26/01	11/1/2002
In re Party City Corporation Securities Litigation 2/26/98 - 3/18/99 8/12/2003  In re P-COM, Inc. Securities Litigation 4/15/97 - 9/11/98 3/15/2002  In re Penn Treaty Schwab Corporation Sec. Litig. 7/23/00 - 3/29/01 2/23/2004  In re Penn Treaty Schwab Corporation Sec. Litig. 7/23/00 - 3/29/01 2/23/2004  In re PeopleSoft, Inc. Securities Litigation 5/27/98 - 1/28/99 9/4/2001  In re Performance Technologies, Inc. Securities Litigation 2/2/00 - 5/19/00 7/18/2003  In re PhyCor Corporation Securities Litigation 4/22/97 - 9/22/98 8/5/2002  In re Pilot Network Services, Inc. Securities Litigation 8/11/98 - 10/17/00 5/2/2002  In re PSS World Medical, Inc. Securities Litigation 10/26/99 - 10/3/00 5/14/2004  In re Reliance Securities Litigation 3/14/95 - 11/14/97 3/23/2002  In re Rent-Way Securities Litigation 12/10/98 - 10/27/00 11/23/2003  In re Rite Aid Corporation Securities Litigation 5/2/97 - 11/10/99 6/30/2003  In re Robotic Vision Systems, Inc. Securities Litigation 1/27/00 - 5/15/01 8/11/2003  Paul Ruble v. Rural / Metro Corporation et al. 4/24/97 - 6/11/98 12/15/2003  Stanley v. Safeskin Corporation, et al. 2/18/98 - 3/11/99 4/28/2003  In re Sagent Technology Inc. Securities Litigation 10/21/99 - 4/18/00 5/27/2003  In re SCB Computer Technology, Inc. Securities Litigation 11/19/97 - 4/14/00 3/20/2002  Lone Star et al. v. Schlotzsky's Inc., et al. 9/24/1997 5/23/2002  In re Select Comfort Corporation Securities Litigation 12/3/98 - 6/7/99 4/30/2003  In re Sensormatic Electronics Corp. Securities Litigation 11/14/2003	In re Oxford Health Plans, Inc. Securities Litigation	11/6/96 - 12/9/97	7/11/2003
In re P-COM, Inc. Securities Litigation 4/15/97 - 9/11/98 3/15/2002  In re Penn Treaty Schwab Corporation Sec. Litig. 7/23/00 - 3/29/01 2/23/2004  In re PeopleSoft, Inc. Securities Litigation 5/27/98 - 1/28/99 9/4/2001  In re Performance Technologies, Inc. Securities Litigation 2/2/00 - 5/19/00 7/18/2003  In re PhyCor Corporation Securities Litigation 4/22/97 - 9/22/98 8/5/2002  In re Pilot Network Services, Inc. Securities Litigation 8/11/98 - 10/17/00 5/2/2002  In re PSS World Medical, Inc. Securities Litigation 10/26/99 - 10/3/00 5/14/2004  In re Reliance Securities Litigation 3/14/95 - 11/14/97 3/23/2002  In re Rent-Way Securities Litigation 12/10/98 - 10/27/00 11/23/2003  In re Rite Aid Corporation Securities Litigation 5/2/97 - 11/10/99 6/30/2003  In re Robotic Vision Systems, Inc. Securities Litigation 1/27/00 - 5/15/01 8/11/2003  Paul Ruble v. Rural / Metro Corporation et al. 4/24/97 - 6/11/98 12/15/2003  Stanley v. Safeskin Corporation, et al. 2/18/98 - 3/11/99 4/28/2003  In re SCB Computer Technology, Inc. Securities Litigation 10/21/99 - 4/18/00 5/27/2003  In re SCB Computer Technology, Inc. Securities Litigation 11/19/97 - 4/14/00 3/20/2002  Lone Star et al. v. Schlotzsky's Inc., et al. 9/24/1997 5/23/2002  In re Select Comfort Corporation Securities Litigation 12/3/98 - 6/7/99 4/30/2003  In re Sensormatic Electronics Corp. Securities Litigation 12/3/98 - 6/7/99 4/30/2003	In re Paradyne Networks, Inc. Securities Litigation	3/20/00 - 9/28/00	7/12/2004
In re Penn Treaty Schwab Corporation Sec. Litig. 7/23/00 - 3/29/01 2/23/2004  In re PeopleSoft, Inc. Securities Litigation 5/27/98 - 1/28/99 9/4/2001  In re Performance Technologies, Inc. Securities Litigation 2/2/00 - 5/19/00 7/18/2003  In re PhyCor Corporation Securities Litigation 4/22/97 - 9/22/98 8/5/2002  In re Pilot Network Services, Inc. Securities Litigation 8/11/98 - 10/17/00 5/2/2002  In re PSS World Medical, Inc. Securities Litigation 10/26/99 - 10/3/00 5/14/2004  In re Reliance Securities Litigation 3/14/95 - 11/14/97 3/23/2002  In re Rent-Way Securities Litigation 12/10/98 - 10/27/00 11/23/2003  In re Rite Aid Corporation Securities Litigation 5/2/97 - 11/10/99 6/30/2003  In re Robotic Vision Systems, Inc. Securities Litigation 1/27/00 - 5/15/01 8/11/2003  Paul Ruble v. Rural / Metro Corporation et al. 4/24/97 - 6/11/98 12/15/2003  Stanley v. Safeskin Corporation, et al. 2/18/98 - 3/11/99 4/28/2003  In re SCB Computer Technology, Inc. Securities Litigation 10/21/99 - 4/18/00 5/27/2003  In re SCB Computer Technology, Inc. Securities Litigation 11/19/97 - 4/14/00 3/20/2002  Lone Star et al. v. Schlotzsky's Inc., et al. 9/24/1997 5/23/2002  In re Select Comfort Corporation Securities Litigation 12/3/98 - 6/7/99 4/30/2003  In re Select Comfort Corporation Securities Litigation 12/3/98 - 6/7/99 4/30/2003	In re Party City Corporation Securities Litigation	2/26/98 - 3/18/99	8/12/2003
In re PeopleSoft, Inc. Securities Litigation         5/27/98 - 1/28/99         9/4/2001           In re Performance Technologies, Inc. Securities Litigation         2/2/00 - 5/19/00         7/18/2003           In re PhyCor Corporation Securities Litigation         4/22/97 - 9/22/98         8/5/2002           In re Pilot Network Services, Inc. Securities Litigation         8/11/98 - 10/17/00         5/2/2002           In re PSS World Medical, Inc. Securities Litigation         10/26/99 - 10/3/00         5/14/2004           In re Reliance Securities Litigation         12/10/98 - 10/27/00         11/23/2003           In re Rite Aid Corporation Securities Litigation         12/10/98 - 10/27/00         11/23/2003           In re Robotic Vision Systems, Inc. Securities Litigation         5/2/97 - 11/10/99         6/30/2003           Paul Ruble v. Rural / Metro Corporation et al.         4/24/97 - 6/11/98         12/15/2003           Stanley v. Safeskin Corporation, et al.         2/18/98 - 3/11/99         4/28/2003           In re Sagent Technology Inc. Securities Litigation         10/21/99 - 4/18/00         5/27/2003           In re SCB Computer Technology, Inc. Securities Litigation         11/19/97 - 4/14/00         3/20/2002           Lone Star et al. v. Schlotzsky's Inc., et al.         9/24/1997         5/23/2002           In re Select Comfort Corporation Securities Litigation         12/3/98 - 6/7/99 <td< td=""><td>In re P-COM, Inc. Securities Litigation</td><td>4/15/97 - 9/11/98</td><td>3/15/2002</td></td<>	In re P-COM, Inc. Securities Litigation	4/15/97 - 9/11/98	3/15/2002
In re Performance Technologies, Inc. Securities Litigation         2/2/00 - 5/19/00         7/18/2003           In re PhyCor Corporation Securities Litigation         4/22/97 - 9/22/98         8/5/2002           In re Pilot Network Services, Inc. Securities Litigation         8/11/98 - 10/17/00         5/2/2002           In re PSS World Medical, Inc. Securities Litigation         10/26/99 - 10/3/00         5/14/2004           In re Reliance Securities Litigation         3/14/95 - 11/14/97         3/23/2002           In re Rent-Way Securities Litigation         12/10/98 - 10/27/00         11/23/2003           In re Rite Aid Corporation Securities Litigation         5/2/97 - 11/10/99         6/30/2003           In re Robotic Vision Systems, Inc. Securities Litigation         1/27/00 - 5/15/01         8/11/2003           Paul Ruble v. Rural / Metro Corporation et al.         4/24/97 - 6/11/98         12/15/2003           Stanley v. Safeskin Corporation, et al.         2/18/98 - 3/11/99         4/28/2003           In re Sagent Technology Inc. Securities Litigation         10/21/99 - 4/18/00         5/27/2003           In re SCB Computer Technology, Inc. Securities Litigation         11/19/97 - 4/14/00         3/20/2002           Lone Star et al. v. Schlotzsky's Inc., et al.         9/24/1997         5/23/2002           In re Select Comfort Corporation Securities Litigation         12/3/98 - 6/7/99         4/30/200	In re Penn Treaty Schwab Corporation Sec. Litig.	7/23/00 - 3/29/01	2/23/2004
In re PhyCor Corporation Securities Litigation         4/22/97 - 9/22/98         8/5/2002           In re Pilot Network Services, Inc. Securities Litigation         8/11/98 - 10/17/00         5/2/2002           In re PSS World Medical, Inc. Securities Litigation         10/26/99 - 10/3/00         5/14/2004           In re Reliance Securities Litigation         3/14/95 - 11/14/97         3/23/2002           In re Rent-Way Securities Litigation         12/10/98 - 10/27/00         11/23/2003           In re Rite Aid Corporation Securities Litigation         5/2/97 - 11/10/99         6/30/2003           In re Robotic Vision Systems, Inc. Securities Litigation         1/27/00 - 5/15/01         8/11/2003           Paul Ruble v. Rural / Metro Corporation et al.         4/24/97 - 6/11/98         12/15/2003           Stanley v. Safeskin Corporation, et al.         2/18/98 - 3/11/99         4/28/2003           In re Sagent Technology Inc. Securities Litigation         10/21/99 - 4/18/00         5/27/2003           In re SCB Computer Technology, Inc. Securities Litigation         11/19/97 - 4/14/00         3/20/2002           Lone Star et al. v. Schlotzsky's Inc., et al.         9/24/1997         5/23/2002           In re Select Comfort Corporation Securities Litigation         12/3/98 - 6/7/99         4/30/2003           In re Sensormatic Electronics Corp. Securities Litigation         11/14/2003         11/14/2003	In re PeopleSoft, Inc. Securities Litigation	5/27/98 - 1/28/99	9/4/2001
In re Pilot Network Services, Inc. Securities Litigation         8/11/98 - 10/17/00         5/2/2002           In re PSS World Medical, Inc. Securities Litigation         10/26/99 - 10/3/00         5/14/2004           In re Reliance Securities Litigation         3/14/95 - 11/14/97         3/23/2002           In re Rent-Way Securities Litigation         12/10/98 - 10/27/00         11/23/2003           In re Rite Aid Corporation Securities Litigation         5/2/97 - 11/10/99         6/30/2003           In re Robotic Vision Systems, Inc. Securities Litigation         1/27/00 - 5/15/01         8/11/2003           Paul Ruble v. Rural / Metro Corporation et al.         4/24/97 - 6/11/98         12/15/2003           Stanley v. Safeskin Corporation, et al.         2/18/98 - 3/11/99         4/28/2003           In re Sagent Technology Inc. Securities Litigation         10/21/99 - 4/18/00         5/27/2003           In re SCB Computer Technology, Inc. Securities Litigation         11/19/97 - 4/14/00         3/20/2002           Lone Star et al. v. Schlotzsky's Inc., et al.         9/24/1997         5/23/2002           In re Select Comfort Corporation Securities Litigation         12/3/98 - 6/7/99         4/30/2003           In re Sensormatic Electronics Corp. Securities Litigation         8/8/00 - 4/26/01         11/14/2003	In re Performance Technologies, Inc. Securities Litigation	2/2/00 - 5/19/00	7/18/2003
In re PSS World Medical, Inc. Securities Litigation 10/26/99 - 10/3/00 5/14/2004  In re Reliance Securities Litigation 3/14/95 - 11/14/97 3/23/2002  In re Rent-Way Securities Litigation 12/10/98 - 10/27/00 11/23/2003  In re Rite Aid Corporation Securities Litigation 5/2/97 - 11/10/99 6/30/2003  In re Robotic Vision Systems, Inc. Securities Litigation 1/27/00 - 5/15/01 8/11/2003  Paul Ruble v. Rural / Metro Corporation et al. 4/24/97 - 6/11/98 12/15/2003  Stanley v. Safeskin Corporation, et al. 2/18/98 - 3/11/99 4/28/2003  In re Sagent Technology Inc. Securities Litigation 10/21/99 - 4/18/00 5/27/2003  In re SCB Computer Technology, Inc. Securities Litigation 11/19/97 - 4/14/00 3/20/2002  Lone Star et al. v. Schlotzsky's Inc., et al. 9/24/1997 5/23/2002  In re Select Comfort Corporation Securities Litigation 12/3/98 - 6/7/99 4/30/2003  In re Sensormatic Electronics Corp. Securities Litigation 11/14/2003	In re PhyCor Corporation Securities Litigation	4/22/97 - 9/22/98	8/5/2002
In re Reliance Securities Litigation       3/14/95 - 11/14/97       3/23/2002         In re Rent-Way Securities Litigation       12/10/98 - 10/27/00       11/23/2003         In re Rite Aid Corporation Securities Litigation       5/2/97 - 11/10/99       6/30/2003         In re Robotic Vision Systems, Inc. Securities Litigation       1/27/00 - 5/15/01       8/11/2003         Paul Ruble v. Rural / Metro Corporation et al.       4/24/97 - 6/11/98       12/15/2003         Stanley v. Safeskin Corporation, et al.       2/18/98 - 3/11/99       4/28/2003         In re Sagent Technology Inc. Securities Litigation       10/21/99 - 4/18/00       5/27/2003         In re SCB Computer Technology, Inc. Securities Litigation       11/19/97 - 4/14/00       3/20/2002         Lone Star et al. v. Schlotzsky's Inc., et al.       9/24/1997       5/23/2002         In re Select Comfort Corporation Securities Litigation       12/3/98 - 6/7/99       4/30/2003         In re Sensormatic Electronics Corp. Securities Litigation       8/8/00 - 4/26/01       11/14/2003	In re Pilot Network Services, Inc. Securities Litigation	8/11/98 - 10/17/00	5/2/2002
In re Rent-Way Securities Litigation       12/10/98 - 10/27/00       11/23/2003         In re Rite Aid Corporation Securities Litigation       5/2/97 - 11/10/99       6/30/2003         In re Robotic Vision Systems, Inc. Securities Litigation       1/27/00 - 5/15/01       8/11/2003         Paul Ruble v. Rural / Metro Corporation et al.       4/24/97 - 6/11/98       12/15/2003         Stanley v. Safeskin Corporation, et al.       2/18/98 - 3/11/99       4/28/2003         In re Sagent Technology Inc. Securities Litigation       10/21/99 - 4/18/00       5/27/2003         In re SCB Computer Technology, Inc. Securities Litigation       11/19/97 - 4/14/00       3/20/2002         Lone Star et al. v. Schlotzsky's Inc., et al.       9/24/1997       5/23/2002         In re Select Comfort Corporation Securities Litigation       12/3/98 - 6/7/99       4/30/2003         In re Sensormatic Electronics Corp. Securities Litigation       8/8/00 - 4/26/01       11/14/2003	In re PSS World Medical, Inc. Securities Litigation	10/26/99 - 10/3/00	5/14/2004
In re Rite Aid Corporation Securities Litigation 5/2/97 - 11/10/99 6/30/2003  In re Robotic Vision Systems, Inc. Securities Litigation 1/27/00 - 5/15/01 8/11/2003  Paul Ruble v. Rural / Metro Corporation et al. 4/24/97 - 6/11/98 12/15/2003  Stanley v. Safeskin Corporation, et al. 2/18/98 - 3/11/99 4/28/2003  In re Sagent Technology Inc. Securities Litigation 10/21/99 - 4/18/00 5/27/2003  In re SCB Computer Technology, Inc. Securities Litigation 11/19/97 - 4/14/00 3/20/2002  Lone Star et al. v. Schlotzsky's Inc., et al. 9/24/1997 5/23/2002  In re Select Comfort Corporation Securities Litigation 12/3/98 - 6/7/99 4/30/2003  In re Sensormatic Electronics Corp. Securities Litigation 8/8/00 - 4/26/01 11/14/2003	In re Reliance Securities Litigation	3/14/95 - 11/14/97	3/23/2002
In re Robotic Vision Systems, Inc. Securities Litigation       1/27/00 - 5/15/01       8/11/2003         Paul Ruble v. Rural / Metro Corporation et al.       4/24/97 - 6/11/98       12/15/2003         Stanley v. Safeskin Corporation, et al.       2/18/98 - 3/11/99       4/28/2003         In re Sagent Technology Inc. Securities Litigation       10/21/99 - 4/18/00       5/27/2003         In re SCB Computer Technology, Inc. Securities Litigation       11/19/97 - 4/14/00       3/20/2002         Lone Star et al. v. Schlotzsky's Inc., et al.       9/24/1997       5/23/2002         In re Select Comfort Corporation Securities Litigation       12/3/98 - 6/7/99       4/30/2003         In re Sensormatic Electronics Corp. Securities Litigation       8/8/00 - 4/26/01       11/14/2003	In re Rent-Way Securities Litigation	12/10/98 - 10/27/00	11/23/2003
Paul Ruble v. Rural / Metro Corporation et al.       4/24/97 - 6/11/98       12/15/2003         Stanley v. Safeskin Corporation, et al.       2/18/98 - 3/11/99       4/28/2003         In re Sagent Technology Inc. Securities Litigation       10/21/99 - 4/18/00       5/27/2003         In re SCB Computer Technology, Inc. Securities Litigation       11/19/97 - 4/14/00       3/20/2002         Lone Star et al. v. Schlotzsky's Inc., et al.       9/24/1997       5/23/2002         In re Select Comfort Corporation Securities Litigation       12/3/98 - 6/7/99       4/30/2003         In re Sensormatic Electronics Corp. Securities Litigation       8/8/00 - 4/26/01       11/14/2003	In re Rite Aid Corporation Securities Litigation	5/2/97 - 11/10/99	6/30/2003
Stanley v. Safeskin Corporation, et al.       2/18/98 - 3/11/99       4/28/2003         In re Sagent Technology Inc. Securities Litigation       10/21/99 - 4/18/00       5/27/2003         In re SCB Computer Technology, Inc. Securities Litigation       11/19/97 - 4/14/00       3/20/2002         Lone Star et al. v. Schlotzsky's Inc., et al.       9/24/1997       5/23/2002         In re Select Comfort Corporation Securities Litigation       12/3/98 - 6/7/99       4/30/2003         In re Sensormatic Electronics Corp. Securities Litigation       8/8/00 - 4/26/01       11/14/2003	In re Robotic Vision Systems, Inc. Securities Litigation	1/27/00 - 5/15/01	8/11/2003
In re Sagent Technology Inc. Securities Litigation 10/21/99 - 4/18/00 5/27/2003  In re SCB Computer Technology, Inc. Securities Litigation 11/19/97 - 4/14/00 3/20/2002  Lone Star et al. v. Schlotzsky's Inc., et al. 9/24/1997 5/23/2002  In re Select Comfort Corporation Securities Litigation 12/3/98 - 6/7/99 4/30/2003  In re Sensormatic Electronics Corp. Securities Litigation 8/8/00 - 4/26/01 11/14/2003	Paul Ruble v. Rural / Metro Corporation et al.	4/24/97 - 6/11/98	12/15/2003
In re SCB Computer Technology, Inc. Securities Litigation  11/19/97 - 4/14/00  3/20/2002  Lone Star et al. v. Schlotzsky's Inc., et al.  9/24/1997  5/23/2002  In re Select Comfort Corporation Securities Litigation  12/3/98 - 6/7/99  4/30/2003  In re Sensormatic Electronics Corp. Securities Litigation  8/8/00 - 4/26/01  11/14/2003	Stanley v. Safeskin Corporation, et al.	2/18/98 - 3/11/99	4/28/2003
Lone Star et al. v. Schlotzsky's Inc., et al.  9/24/1997  5/23/2002  In re Select Comfort Corporation Securities Litigation  12/3/98 - 6/7/99  4/30/2003  In re Sensormatic Electronics Corp. Securities Litigation  8/8/00 - 4/26/01  11/14/2003	In re Sagent Technology Inc. Securities Litigation	10/21/99 - 4/18/00	5/27/2003
In re Select Comfort Corporation Securities Litigation 12/3/98 - 6/7/99 4/30/2003  In re Sensormatic Electronics Corp. Securities Litigation 8/8/00 - 4/26/01 11/14/2003	In re SCB Computer Technology, Inc. Securities Litigation	11/19/97 - 4/14/00	3/20/2002
In re Sensormatic Electronics Corp. Securities Litigation 8/8/00 - 4/26/01 11/14/2003	Lone Star et al. v. Schlotzsky's Inc., et al.	9/24/1997	5/23/2002
	In re Select Comfort Corporation Securities Litigation	12/3/98 - 6/7/99	4/30/2003
Steinbeck v. Sonic Innovations, Inc. et al. 5/2/00 - 10/24/00 6/21/2004	In re Sensormatic Electronics Corp. Securities Litigation	8/8/00 - 4/26/01	11/14/2003
<u> </u>	Steinbeck v. Sonic Innovations, Inc. et al.	5/2/00 - 10/24/00	6/21/2004

Klein v. Southwest Gas Corporation, et al.	12/14/98 - 1/21/00	11/5/2001
In re Starnet Communications Int'l, Inc. Sec. Litigation	3/11/99 - 8/20/99	9/20/2002
In re Steven Madden Ltd. Securities Litigation	6/21/97 - 6/20/00	6/18/2004
In re Supervalu, Inc. Securities Litigation	7/19/99 - 7/25/02	8/2/2004
In re Sykes Enterprises, Inc. Securities Litigation	7/27/98 - 9/18/00	4/9/2003
In re Synsorb BioTech, Inc. Securities Litigation	4/4/01 - 12/10/01	1/10/2004
In re Take Two Interactive Software, Inc. Securities Litigation	2/24/00 - 12/17/01	1/2/2003
In re Team Communications Group, Inc. Securities Litigation	11/19/99 - 3/16/01	8/22/2002
In re Telxon Corporation Securities Litigation	5/21/96 - 2/23/99	6/11/2004
Spiegel v. Tenfold Corporation, et al.	5/21/99 - 4/12/01	1/9/2003
In re THG, Inc. Securities Litigation	10/26/99 - 5/24/00	6/30/2003
In re Turnstone Systems, Inc. Securities Litigation	Pursuant to 9/2/00	10/31/2003
In re Tut Systems, Inc. Securities Litigation	7/20/00 - 1/31/01	6/21/2004
In re UniStar Financial Service Corp. Securities Litigation	10/15/98 - 7/20/99	8/17/2001
In re US Franchise Systems, Inc. Securities Litigation	5/6/99 - 10/29/99	6/5/2002
In re US Interactive, Inc. Securities Litigation	2/10/00 - 11/8/00	12/2/2003
O'Neal Trust v. VanStar Corporation, et al.	3/11/96 - 3/14/97	11/26/2001
Rasner v. Vari-L Company, Inc. et al.	12/17/97 - 7/6/00	5/5/2003
Helwig v. Vencor, Inc. et al.	2/10/97 - 10/21/97	6/14/2002
In re Versata, Inc. Securities Litigation	3/2/00 - 4/30/01	3/17/2003
In re Vesta Insurance Group, Inc. Securities Litigation	6/2/95 - 6/28/98	10/17/2002
In re Vision America, Inc. Securities Litigation	11/5/98 - 3/24/00	7/30/2002
In re Vision America, Inc. Securities Litigation	4/24/99 - 3/24/00	10/8/2003
In re The Warnaco Group, Inc. Securities Litigation	9/17/97 - 7/19/00	3/5/2004
In re Waste Management Inc. Securities Litigation	6/11/99 - 11/9/99	7/15/2002
In re Westell Technologies, Inc. Securities Litigation	6/27/00 - 11/18/00	8/31/2003
In re Ziff Davis Inc. Securities Litigation	4/29/98 - 11/8/98	4/5/2002

25. If the Defendants had submitted Proof of Claim forms on behalf of the Funds in these cases and all others to which the Funds had valid claims, the settlement funds would have increased

the total assets held by the Funds, and such increase would have been allocated immediately to the then-current investors upon the recalculation of the Net Asset Value (NAV).

- 26. However, upon information and belief, the Defendants failed to submit Proof of Claim forms in these cases and thereby forfeited Plaintiff's rightful share of the recover obtained in the securities class actions.
- 27. By virtue of their position as investment advisors to the Funds with complete control of Plaintiff's investments, the Investment Advisor Defendants (and any sub-advisors and affiliates) directly owed Plaintiff and other fund investors a fiduciary duty to act in their best interests. *See Rasmussen v. A.C.T. Environmental Services Inc.*, 739 N.Y.S.2d 220, 222 (N.Y.A.D. 3 Dept., 2002). Likewise, the individual defendants, as well as Directors of mutual funds, owe a fiduciary duty to fund shareholders. *See Scheuer Family Foundation, Inc. v. 61 Associates*, 582 N.Y.S.2d 662, 666 (N.Y.A.D. 1 Dept., 1992).
- 28. Plaintiff entrusted Defendants to fulfill their fiduciary duties and not knowingly to refuse to recover money rightfully belonging to the Fund investors at the time of settlement disbursement. As the Fund investors' fiduciary, only Defendants were able to submit the necessary Proof of Claim forms to recover the share of the settlements allocated to the Fund and Fund investors in the securities class action suits. Plaintiff did not receive notice of the proposed settlements nor did she have the option of submitting a Proof of Claim form in her individual capacities as an individual investor. Plaintiff and member of the Class trusted Defendants to carry out this simple task on their behalf, and, on information and belief, Defendants failed to do so. By failing to submit Proof of Claim forms, Defendants breached the fiduciary duty and standard of care that they owed directly to Plaintiff and members of the Class.

### Standing.

29. The Funds were all created and sponsored by the Parent Company Defendant. The day-to-day operations of the Funds are managed by the same Investment Advisor or a sub-advisor who reports to the Advisor. The Funds have the same directors who meet for all the funds at once. All of the contracts for all of the Funds are identical for the purposes of this action. The Funds share many expenses between and among one another. The same policy or custom related to participation in securities class action settlements applies to all the Funds. Plaintiff therefore brings this action on behalf of all the Funds.

### COUNT I BREACH OF FIDUCIARY DUTY

- 30. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges each of the preceding allegations as though fully set forth herein.
- 31. All of the Defendants owed fiduciary duties directly to Plaintiff and members of the Class and were required to act with the highest obligations of good faith, loyalty, fair dealing, due care, and candor.
- 32. As set forth above, on information and belief, the Defendants breached the fiduciary duties they owed directly to Plaintiff and members of the Class by failing to submit Proof of Claim forms or to otherwise participate in settled securities class actions and thereby recover money rightfully belonging to the Fund investors. Plaintiff and members of the class have been injured as a direct, proximate, and foreseeable result of such breach on the part of the Defendants and have suffered substantial damages.
- 33. Because the Defendants breached their fiduciary duties owed directly to Plaintiff and members of the Class, Plaintiff is entitled to compensatory damages, and Defendants must forfeit all fees and commission they received from Plaintiff and members of the Class. *See Royal Carbo*

Corp. v. Flameguard, Inc. et al., 229 A.D.2d 430, 645 N.Y.S.2d 18 (1996) ("it is well settled that one who owes a duty of fidelity to a principal and who is faithless in the performance of his or her services is generally not entitled to recover compensation, whether commissions or salary."); Restatement (Second) of Agency Sec. 469 (1958) ("An agent is entitled to no compensation for conduct which is disobedient or which is a breach of his duty or loyalty; if such conduct constitutes a willful and deliberate breach of his contract of services, he is not entitled to compensation even for properly performed services for which no compensation is apportioned").

34. Because the Defendants acted with reckless and willful disregard for the rights of Plaintiff and members of the Class, the Defendants are liable for punitive damages in an amount to be determined by the jury.

### COUNT II NEGLIGENCE AGAINST ALL DEFENDANTS

- 35. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges each of the preceding allegations as though fully set forth herein.
- 36. Defendants owed a duty of care directly to Plaintiff and members of the Class to act in a reasonable manner and to protect and maximize each individual's investments in the Funds. By failing to submit Proof of Claim forms or to otherwise participate in settled securities class actions, on information and belief, Defendants did not conform to the duty they owed. As a direct and proximate result, Plaintiff and members of the Class have been damaged by millions of dollars.

### COUNT III VIOLATION OF SECTION 36(a) OF THE INVESTMENT COMPANY ACT

37. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges each of the preceding allegations as though fully set forth herein.

- 38. Under Section 36(a) of the ICA, all of the Defendants are deemed to have a fiduciary duty to the Plaintiff and all members of the Class.
- 39. On information and belief, all Defendants breached their fiduciary duty arising under Section 36(a) of the ICA by failing to submit Proof of Claim forms or to otherwise participate in settled securities class actions and thereby recover money rightfully belonging to the Fund investors and which would have been immediately allocated to investors through the recalculation of the Net Asset Value.
- 40. Plaintiff and members of the Class have been injured as a direct, proximate, and foreseeable result of such breach on the part of the Defendants and have suffered substantial damages.

### COUNT IV VIOLATION OF SECTION 36(b) OF THE INVESTMENT COMPANY ACT (AGAINST ADVISOR DEFENDANTS AND PARENT COMPANY DEFENDANT)

- 41. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges each of the preceding allegations as though fully set forth herein.
- 42. Under Section 36(b) of the ICA, the Advisor Defendants, the Parent Company Defendant, and other affiliates of the Advisor Defendants are deemed to have a fiduciary duty with respect to the receipt of compensation for services, or of payments of a material nature, paid by the Fund and Fund investors.
- 43. The Advisor Defendants, the Parent Company, and other affiliates, upon information and belief, breached their fiduciary duty arising under Section 36(b) of the ICA by failing to submit Proof of Claim forms or to otherwise participate in settled securities class actions and thereby recover money rightfully belonging to the Fund investors and which would have been immediately allocated to the individual investors through the recalculation of the NAV.

44. Plaintiff and members of the Class have been injured as a direct, proximate, and foreseeable result of such breach on the part of the Defendants and have suffered substantial damages.

### COUNT V VIOLATION OF SECTION 47(b) OF THE INVESTMENT COMPANY ACT (AGAINST ADVISOR DEFENDANTS AND PARENT COMPANY DEFENDANT)

- 45. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges each of the preceding allegations as though fully set forth herein.
- 46. Pursuant to Section 47(b) of the ICA, 15 U.S.C. 80a-46(b), any contract made in violation, or performance of which results in violation, of the ICA is declared unenforceable.
- 47. For reasons alleged herein, the Agreements between the Advisor Defendants (and the Parent Company and other Affiliates) and the Funds were performed, on information and belief, in violation of the Investment Company Act and are therefore unenforceable.
- 48. Under Section 47(b) of the ICA, 15 U.S.C. 80a-46(b), the advisory agreements may be voided, and the Advisor Defendants, the Parent Company Defendant, and other affiliates are liable to return to the Funds and Fund investors all of the fees and consideration of any kind paid to them during the time period that the violations occurred.
  - 49. Plaintiff demands a jury trial.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands judgment against Defendants as follows:

- (a) Recognizing, approving and certifying the Class as specified herein.
- (b) In favor of the Class for compensatory and punitive damages, forfeiture of all commissions and fees paid by the Class, plus the costs of this action together with reasonable attorneys fees.
- (c) For such other and further relief as this Court deems just.

Dated: January 12, 2005

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,

Perry Weitz
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
180 Maiden Lane
New York, NY 10038-4925
(212) 558-5500
(212) 344-5461 fax

Randall K. Pulliam BARON & BUDD, P.C. 3102 Oak Lawn Ave. Suite 1100 Dallas, Texas 75219-4281 (214) 521-3605 (214) 520-1181 fax

J. Allen Carney
Hank Bates
CAULEY BOWMAN CARNEY & WILLIAMS, LLP
11311 Arcade Dr.
Suite 200
Little Rock, Arkansas 72212
(501) 312-8500
(501) 312-8505 fax